

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/784,626	CHERNY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher S. McCarthy	2113	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowable

 (1) Christopher S. McCarthy.

(3) _____.

 (2) Himanshu Amin, Reg.# 40894.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 October 2006
Time: _____.

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

16, 20

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner explained to the representative that 16 was dependent upon canceled claim 15. Examiner also explained that claim 20 was incorrectly amended to include allowable subject matter of canceled claim 18, and that claim 18 was for the set of independent claim 10, and would not make the independent claim set of 20 allowable as not all limitations of claim 10 was present. The representative responded by amending claim 16 to be upon claim 10 instead, and to cancel claim set of 20-21.